Exhibit D

(B. Guimberteau Dep. (Sept. 14, 2022) transcript excerpts)

		Page 1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
3		
4	х	
5	HERMÉS INTERNATIONAL, and	
6	HERMÉS OF PARIS,	
7	Plaintiffs,	
8	vs. Case No. 1:22-cv-00384	
9	MASON ROTHSCHILD,	
10	Defendant.	
11	x	
12	"CONFIDENTIAL"	
13	VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF	
14	BORIANA GUIMBERTEAU	
15	VIA ZOOM VIDEOCONFERENCE	
16	September 14, 2022	
17	4:00 p.m. CEST	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	CASE NO. 5461948	
24	Reported by:	
25	Maureen Ratto, RPR, CCR	

	Page 2
1	* * *
2	
3	Videotape deposition of BORIANA
4	GUIMBERTEAU held virtually via Zoom
5	Teleconference, hosted from Veritext
6	Legal Solutions, pursuant to notice,
7	before Maureen Ratto, Certified Court
8	Reporter, License No. XI01165,
9	Registered Professional Reporter,
10	License No. 817125, and Notary Public.
11	
12	* * *
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

		Page 3
1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	Counsel for the Plaintiff:	
4	BAKER HOSTETLER, LLP	
5	600 Anton Boulevard	
6	Costa Mesa, California 92626	
7	BY: GERALD FERGUSON, ESQ.	
8	gferguson@bakerlaw.com	
9	FRANCESCA ROGO, ESQ.	
10	Frogo@bakerlaw.com	
11		
12	BAKER HOSTETLER, LLP	
13	1735 Market Street	
14	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104	
15	BY: FRANCESCA ROGO, ESQ.	
16	Frogo@bakerlaw.com	
17	LISA BOLLINGER GEHMAN, ESQ.	
18	lgehman@bakerlaw.com	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
2 4		
25		

	Page 4
1	APPEARANCES, continued:
2	
3	Counsel for the Defendant:
4	LEX LUMINA, PLLC
5	745 Fifth Avenue
6	New York, New York 10151
7	BY: RHETT O. MILLSAPS, II, ESQ.
8	rhett@lex-lumina.com
9	CHRISTOPHER J. SPRIGMAN, ESQ.
10	Chris@lex-lumina.com
11	
12	ALSO PRESENT:
13	VALENTINE FADIE, Hermés International
14	HOWARD BRODSKY, Legal Video Specialist
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 6
1	GUIMBERTEAU CONFIDENTIAL
2	the court reporter shall enter all
3	appearances for this proceeding
4	into the stenographic court record
5	and have further stipulated and
6	agreed that the court reporter may
7	take the deponent's oath remotely.
8	Will the court reporter please
9	swear in the witness.
10	* * *
11	BORIANA GUIMBERTEAU,
12	having been first duly sworn according
13	to law by the Officer, testifies as
14	follows:
15	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FERGUSON:
16	Q. Good afternoon. Can you please
17	state your name for the record?
18	A. Yes. My name is Boriana
19	Guimberteau.
2 0	Q. What is your profession,
21	Ms. Guimberteau?
2 2	A. I am an attorney, a lawyer in
2 3	France.
2 4	Q. And where do you live?
2 5	A. In Paris, France.

	Page 7
1	GUIMBERTEAU CONFIDENTIAL
2	Q. What firm employs you?
3	A. I work for the firm Stephenson
4	Harwood.
5	Q. What is your area of
6	specialization at your law firm?
7	A. I am specializing in
8	intellectual property law.
9	Q. Do you provide legal services
10	to the company Hermés?
11	A. No.
12	Q. As far as you're aware does
13	your law firm provide legal services to
L 4	the company Hermés?
15	A. No.
16	Q. Are you aware of a NFT called
17	the Baby Birkin?
18	A. Yes, I am.
19	Q. To the best of your
2 0	recollection, when did you first become
21	aware of a NFT called the Baby Birkin?
2 2	A. I became aware of this NFT
23	when I was presenting preparing a
2 4	presentation for the French group of the
2 5	AIPPI, an association specialized in

	Page 8
1	GUIMBERTEAU CONFIDENTIAL
2	intellectual property, here in Paris,
3	France at the end of 2021.
4	Q. How did you become aware of
5	the NFT called the Baby Birkin?
6	A. Through my searches on the
7	internet.
8	Q. Did you find any news articles
9	or other publications discussing the Baby
10	Birkin through your research on the
11	internet?
12	A. Yes. I found some articles on
13	the internet.
1 4	Q. I'm going to show you what we
15	have premarked as Exhibit 104. It's Bates
16	stamped GUIMBERTEAU_00070 and it's an
17	article entitled 2021 The Year of Fashion
18	NFTs.
19	(Exhibit 104, article entitled
2 0	2021 The Year of Fashion NFTs,
21	Bates GUIMBERTEAU_00070 was
2 2	received and marked on this date
2 3	for identification.)
2 4	Q. Do you recognize this article?
2 5	A. Yes, I do.

	Page 10
1	GUIMBERTEAU CONFIDENTIAL
2	leave somebody using its trademarks and
3	its products without authorization,
4	without any partnership or consent, and
5	second of all, under this image we see
6	"Image Courtesy of Hermés".
7	(Reporter clarification.)
8	A. The text indication, they
9	mention "Image Courtesy of Hermés" which
10	for me means that Hermés has provided
11	this image that we see here on the
12	screen.
13	Q. Did there come a time when you
14	became aware of NFTs called the
15	MetaBirkins?
16	A. Well, it was approximately at
17	the same time while preparing the
18	presentation for the AIPPI group.
19	Q. And did you become aware of
20	the MetaBirkins' NFTs through your
21	research and articles that you read
22	online?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. At the time you became aware
25	of the MetaBirkin's NFTs, did you have an

	Page 11
1	GUIMBERTEAU CONFIDENTIAL
2	understanding as to whether Hermés had
3	any role in issuing those NFTs?
4	A. Well, for me it was the same
5	thing as for the Baby Birkin, as it was
6	related to Hermés, it was necessarily
7	with Hermés' agreement.
8	Q. I'm going to show you what we
9	have marked as Exhibit 105, it's Bates
10	stamped GUIMBERTEAU_000037. I'm going to
11	ask, can you identify this document for
12	me?
13	(Exhibit 105, presentation by
14	to AIPPI Boriana Guimberteau, Bates
15	GUIMBERTEAU_000037 was received and
16	marked on this date for
17	identification.)
18	A. Yes. This is the presentation
19	that I gave to the French group of AIPPI.
20	This is the first page.
21	Q. Okay. What was the topic of
22	this presentation?
23	A. It was a NFT. It was on NFTs
24	in the it was on NFTs in the art and
25	luxury sectors.

	Page 19
1	GUIMBERTEAU CONFIDENTIAL
2	France.
3	Q. You're required to do the
4	affidavit in France?
5	A. No. No.
6	Q. Okay. So why did you decide to
7	submit an affidavit?
8	A. Well, because I did not see
9	what would be the problem with that.
10	Q. Have you ever at any time
11	provided any services to Hermés?
12	A. No.
13	Q. Has your firm ever at any time
14	provided services to Hermés?
15	A. No.
16	Q. Do you provide services to
17	other luxury fashion brands?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. And who are those?
2 0	A
21	Q. Any others?
22	A. No.
2 3	Q. Has your firm provided
2 4	services to other luxury fashion brands?
2 5	A. Yes.

	Page 20
1	GUIMBERTEAU CONFIDENTIAL
2	Q. Who are those?
3	A.
4	Q. Any others?
5	A. Not to my knowledge.
6	Q. Okay. Is your firm looking to
7	do business with Hermés?
8	A. No.
9	Q. Would you like to do
10	A. Not to my knowledge.
11	Q. Okay. Would you like to do
12	business with Hermés?
13	A. Well, it is not a bad company,
14	but I'm not looking for it.
15	Q. Have you ever approached
16	Hermés about representing them in the
17	past?
18	A. No.
19	Q. Okay. What does it mean do
2 0	you describe yourself as a metaverse
21	lawyer?
22	A. I have a good knowledge of
23	these notions.
2 4	Q. Have you described yourself as
25	a metaverse lawyer?

	Page 21
1	GUIMBERTEAU CONFIDENTIAL
2	A. No. No. But I say that I have
3	a good knowledge in blockchain and NFTs.
4	Q. But you've never described
5	yourself as a metaverse lawyer?
6	A. No.
7	Q. Okay. And how do you have a
8	good knowledge of NFTs?
9	A. Because with my previous firm
10	in 2018 we've launched a platform based
11	on blockchain technology that we offer to
12	our clients and last year naturally I
13	started also getting interested in NFTs
14	and following all the movement around
15	that, so that's how.
16	Q. What was that platform called?
17	A. FTPA Avocats.
18	Q. Could you spell that last?
19	A. FTPA is the name of my
20	previous firm, and FTPA Blockchain, I
21	think they've changed the name.
22	Q. How long were you with FTPA?
23	A. For 17 years.
24	Q. Has FTPA ever done any work
25	for Hermés?

	Page 22
1	GUIMBERTEAU CONFIDENTIAL
2	A. No.
3	Q. Has FTPA done work for other
4	luxury fashion brands?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. What brands?
7	A
8	Q. Any others?
9	A. Not to my knowledge. Maybe
10	some of the partners, I don't know.
11	Q. Were you a partner at FTPA?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Why did you leave FTPA?
1 4	A. Because I found a better
15	opportunity with Stephenson Harwood.
16	It's a more international firm.
17	Q. Are you qualified to practice
18	law in the United States?
19	A. No.
2 0	Q. Have you studied U.S. law?
21	A. No.
2 2	Q. Did anyone tell you that Baby
2 3	Birkin was created by Hermés?
2 4	A. No.
2 5	Q. Did anyone tell you that

	Page 27
1	GUIMBERTEAU CONFIDENTIAL
2	testified that you believed that Baby
3	Birkin was necessarily authorized by
4	Hermés because it's related to a Hermés
5	product; is that right?
6	A. Yes.
7	MR. FERGUSON: Objection.
8	Q. Do you know if it's the law in
9	the United States that something must be
10	authorized by I'm sorry. Let me
11	withdraw that and rephrase it.
12	Do you know if the law in the
13	United States requires an artist to get a
14	brand's permission before that artist
15	depicts one of the brand's products?
16	MR. FERGUSON: Objection. You
17	can answer.
18	MR. MILLSAPS: What is your
19	objection?
20	MR. FERGUSON: It's outside
21	the scope of direct. This is
22	cross-examination. And also, she's
23	already testified she's not a
24	she hasn't studied law and you're
25	asking her opinion on U.S. law.

	Page 28
1	GUIMBERTEAU CONFIDENTIAL
2	MR. MILLSAPS: I'm asking if
3	it's her understanding.
4	MR. FERGUSON: Same objection.
5	You can answer.
6	A. I don't have knowledge of U.S.
7	law, so I cannot answer.
8	Q. I'm just checking my notes of
9	the documents that were produced
10	yesterday evening.
11	Is there anything else on
12	which you based your conclusion that Baby
13	Birkin was authorized by Hermés than what
14	you've said today?
15	MR. FERGUSON: Objection. You
16	can answer.
17	A. Well, no. I think I explained
18	the reasons.
19	MR. MILLSAPS: Jerry, what is
2 0	the objection to that question?
21	MR. FERGUSON: I thought it
22	was vague.
23	MR. MILLSAPS: Okay.
2 4	Q. Other than what you've
25	testified here today, is there anything

	Page 29
1	GUIMBERTEAU CONFIDENTIAL
2	else on which you based your conclusion
3	that MetaBirkins was authorized by
4	Hermés?
5	A. Well, beside it has the
6	trademark Birkin and the shape of the
7	product, I think and what I already
8	explained, I think that would be the
9	reason why.
10	Q. Okay. And there is no other
11	reason?
12	A. No.
13	MR. MILLSAPS: Okay. We have
14	no further questions for this
15	witness. Thank you very much.
16	MR. FERGUSON: Thank you very
17	much for your time, Ms.
18	Guimberteau.
19	One piece of housekeeping
20	before you went off the record. You
21	mentioned some client names in
22	response to questions. We could
23	have this transcript designated as
24	"Confidential" if you would
25	consider that information to be